

Conservation Commission Meeting Minutes

Town Hall Lower Conference Room

24 Perry Ave., Buzzards Bay, MA 02532

October 4, 2018

I. Call to order

Chm. Gray called to order the meeting of the Conservation Commission at 7:00 PM on October 4, 2018. Chm. Gray explained all reviews, unless otherwise stated, are joint reviews. Applications will be processed pursuant to the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act, M.G.L. c. 131, § 40 and pursuant to Article 3.7 of the Town of Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw.

Note: Chm. Gray addressed the audience and explained the 5, 5, 5 rules; which allow the applicant / representative five minutes to make a presentation to the Commission members, Commission members will take five minutes to seek clarification if needed, the Conservation agent will also give a report and five minutes of public input is allowed. He asked for all to silence their cell phones.

Note: The meeting was being recorded anyone in the audience who was recording, or videotaping was asked to acknowledge such to the Commission. The proceeding listing of matters are those reasonably anticipated by the Chair which may be discussed at the meeting. Not all items listed may be discussed and other items not listed may be discussed to the limited extent permitted by the Open Meeting Law. All items within the meeting agenda are subject to deliberation and vote(s) by the Conservation Commission.

Members Present: Robert Gray, Rob Palumbo, Thomas Ligor, Elise Leduc, Melvin P. Holmes, Paul Szwed and Associate Member, Greg Berman.

Excused Members: Susan Weston.

Also Present: Sam Haines, Carol Mitchell, Jim Mulvey, Richard Selby, Jamie Bissonnette, Bradley Bertolo, Greg Siroonian and Mike Ball.

Chm. Gray changed the order of the agenda.

Certificate of Compliance

1) Applicant: Dimiter Zagoroff
File Number: SE7-1653
Representative: Falmouth Engineering, Inc.
Parcel 2 Bassett's Island

To replace and extend retaining wall and removal of trees adjacent to the dwelling within an AE & V Flood Zone and within a 100 feet of a Wetland Resource Area.

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, 2007 Site Plan of Record As-built Plan, Letter from Mike Borselli and DEP Wetlands Change Mapping.

Agent Comment – Mr. Haines performed a site inspection on September 27, 2018. He compared the as-built with the 2007 plan. The wall location and length appears to be reasonably accurate; however, ASC (2) of the Order states that a site inspection will be performed to determine whether beach nourishment is required prior to the issuance of the COC. Because this is an older filing, it is difficult to determine whether beach nourishment is required at this time because there were no transects done originally for the beach or modeling. He observed that the topography at the front of the wall is lower on the as-built than what's shown on the original plan. Mr. Haines spoke with the engineer who provided a letter stating the deviation was based on survey data changes. Mr. Haines didn't observe any dramatic changes on the beach as a whole; however, he saw evidence of erosion in other areas. A brief discussion ensued.

Board Comment – Mr. Holmes made note that the retaining wall is actually a bulkhead.

Ms. Leduc opened a brief discussion regarding the original plan.

Mr. Berman asked if the agent has any pictures of the beach. Mr. Haines stated he only has pictures of the wall. Mr. Berman asked if there was a Condition in the original Order requiring the beach elevation be replaced as it was. Mr. Haines stated no and read the Additional Special Condition.

After a brief discussion, Mr. Haines stated that it is his opinion that he doesn't have enough information to fulfill the Additional Special Condition and wouldn't want to put undue hardship on the applicant. Chm. Gray agreed. Given that, Mr. Haines recommended issuing the Certificate of Compliance.

With no further discussion, **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to grant the Certificate of Compliance.** The motion carried. 5-0-0.

Chm. Gray recused himself from discussion and vote. Mr. Palumbo chaired the next hearing.

Notice of Intent

1) Applicant: Julia Morton
File Number: SE7-2035
Representative: JC Engineering, Inc.
43 South Road, Pocasset

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, Aerial Photographs, Site Plan of Record, and DEP Wetlands Change Mapping.

To raze and reconstruct an existing dwelling and septic system installation within an AE Flood Zone and within 100 feet of a Wetland Resource Area.

Brad Bertolo addressed the board. He discussed the layout of the property, its location and provided information regarding the Coastal Banks located on the property. Next, Mr. Bertolo described the proposed project. He also mentioned a violation that was observed by the agent during his site inspection of the property. He asked the agent to elaborate further.

Board Comment – Ms. Leduc feels that even though some of the Coastal Banks located on the property may have been somewhat altered by past construction and may be very low elevation banks, they are offering some amount of flood protection based on the base flood elevations.

Agent Comment – Mr. Haines stated he agrees that the primary Coastal Bank offers storm damage prevention; however, the secondary Coastal Bank is behind all the structures with a large rise behind that so based on his observations, he doesn't see how the secondary Coastal Bank provides any storm damage functions because all of the structures are in front. A discussion ensued. Next, Mr. Haines discussed the violation he observed at the site visit. He stated the applicant has been mowing the saltmarsh. He stated the mowing has been happening since 2007 or earlier based on aeriels. There doesn't appear to be many invasive species in the general area; therefore, Mr. Haines feels if mowing ceased, it would come back as a healthy saltmarsh. Mr. Haines feels it will be difficult to monitor so he suggested asking for the installation of a hard boundary as mitigation or condition the Order to state that the Order does not allow for any saltmarsh mowing and any mowing that occurs will result in immediate enforcement in the future.

Mr. Palumbo asked the representative if he's spoken to the homeowner about the violation. Mr. Bertolo stated he has and the property owner informed him the property

has been in the family for 50 years and the mowing has occurred for 50 years. Mr. Bertolo stated the property owner would like to maintain a path. When he spoke with the agent about it, Mr. Haines informed him that probably would not meet the performance standards. Mr. Bertolo mentioned the possibility of constructing an elevated walkway in the future.

Mr. Ligor asked what the length of the elevated walkway would be to reach the beach. Mr. Bertolo said he wasn't sure. Mr. Haines stated they have the right to file an application for an elevated walkway; however, given that there's no invasive species in the area, performance standards don't allow for the mowing of saltmarsh for beach access.

Additional Agent Comment - Mr. Haines questioned whether it would be beneficial to regrade the area to move the bank in front of the guest house; at that point the bank would provide some storm damage prevention. If the Commission determines that the Coastal Bank does not provide storm damage prevention, it no longer has performance standards and would not be subject to the 50-foot set back.

Ms. Leduc clarified that the performance standards only apply if the Coastal Bank acts as a vertical buffer providing storm damage prevention. Mr. Haines agreed.

Public Comment – None.

Ms. Leduc moved to close the public hearing. Mr. Ligor seconded. With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Mr. Haines - Draft Order of Conditions: All General Conditions, Special Conditions pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40 numbers; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 27, 28, 29 Special Conditions pursuant to the Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw Article 3.7 numbers; 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 and the following Additional Special Condition; ASC (1) during the site inspection on October 2, 2018, the Conservation agent observed active mowing of the saltmarsh vegetation. This activity is a violation of the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and the Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw. This order does not permit any mowing of saltmarsh vegetation and any future mowing will result in immediate enforcement action by the Bourne Conservation Commission. Additional Special Condition 1 shall continue in perpetuity.

Mr. Berman asked if the agent would like to require the property owner submit photos of the site to show that they haven't been mowing when they come back to close the filing.

Mr. Palumbo stated if a site visit is made for a COC then the agent will know. Mr. Berman agreed.

Mr. Palumbo entertained a motion to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions. **Ms. Leduc moved, Mr. Ligor seconded to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 4-0-0.

Chm. Gray returned to chair the hearing.

2) Applicant: Joseph Saade
File Number: SE7-2033
Representative: GAF Engineering Surveyors
51 Pine Ridge Road, Buzzards Bay

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, Site Plan of Record and DEP Wetlands Change Mapping.

To remove and reconstruct an existing licensed pier, gangway and float, as well as licensing an existing mortared stone seawall within a V Flood Zone and within 100 feet of a Wetland Resource Area.

Mr. Haines announced at the request of the representative, the hearing will be continued to October 18, 2018.

3) Applicant: Frank Harrington
File Number: SE7-2029
Representative: GAF Engineering, Inc.
41 Little Bay Lane, Buzzards Bay

To reconstruct an existing seasonal float, install piles and replace an existing wood gangway with an aluminum gangway within an AE Flood Zone and within a 100 feet of a Wetland Resource Area.

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, Revised Site Plan of Record, Narrative / Landscape Plan, Restoration Plan and DEP Wetlands Change Mapping.

(Continued from September 20, 2018)

Brian Grady addressed the members and discussed changes made to the plan. He said another issue that was raised at the previous hearing pertained to storage of the float. The property owner will haul the float out and store it at his Marina. Mr. Grady prepared a

brief narrative and a plan addressing the alteration of approximately 1,400 square feet that had been cleared prior to Mr. Harrington acquiring the property. He explained Mr. Harrington exacerbated the situation when his septic system was replaced by pushing some of the fill onto the adjacent property. Mr. Grady stated he will instruct the contractor hired to perform the work to consult closely with the agent prior to the start of the work and during the work. He provided detail regarding how the work will be completed, the restoration planting plan and the erosion control methods being proposed. He also included a letter clarifying some of the work on this project will include re-decking the fixed pier, stairs and landing.

Board Comment – Mr. Ligor asked what kind of fill will be used in the area that was impacted. Mr. Grady stated they will only apply top soil prior to the seed mix if necessary after the area's been regraded.

Mr. Berman asked if the floating portion will rest on the substrate at low tide. Mr. Grady stated it probably will at a very low tide because without the piles, there aren't chain supports. Mr. Haines asked if float stops would prevent that from happening. Mr. Grady stated he doesn't know if the 2" posts will be suitable to support that. He said applying skids on the bottom of the float may be an option. Mr. Haines said in terms of weight distribution, he doesn't know whether that would have a lesser impact than the actual float.

Agent Comment – Mr. Haines said at the last hearing, a Commission member asked if the structure could be lengthened to meet the 3' substrate. After speaking with the property owner, the representative is not proposing an expansion. A sketch of the Restoration Plan was submitted and Mr. Haines thinks as long as the fill is removed down to the mineral soil and the area is allowed to revegetate, then the Landscape Plan is sufficient. He thinks the proposed six shrubs should be placed along the property boundary. As for the retaining wall and the tree trimming, Mr. Haines met with the homeowner and after speaking with her, he thinks there was some coordination with the previous agent regarding this. The representative supplied a supplemental letter stating they would like to re-deck and tread the dock stairs and landing. Mr. Haines feels this would be a minor modification since all the work is above surface grade, he doesn't feel it will impact the Resource Area. The plan has been revised to show that the extension will be seasonal; thus, meeting general performance standard 17.

Public Comment – None.

Chm. Gray entertained a motion to close the public hearing. **Mr. Palumbo moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to close the public hearing.** With no discussion the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Mr. Haines - Draft Order of Conditions: All General Conditions, Special Conditions pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40 numbers; 1, 2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 19, 20, 22, 26, 27, 28, 29 Special Conditions pursuant to the Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw Article 3.7 numbers; 2, 4, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, and the following Additional Special Condition ASC (1) prior to any work being performed on the dock and pier, the property owner must complete the restoration of the Bordering Vegetated Wetland and the Coastal Bank for the unpermitted alterations between 2016 and September 20, 2018 as described on the October 4, 2018 Restoration Plan submitted to the Commission. After completion of the restoration, the applicant's consultant will review the restoration and provide a report to the Conservation Department. Any work on the dock or installation of the float gangway prior to the Commission reviewing the restoration will result in immediate enforcement by the Bourne Conservation Commission.

Chm. Gray entertained a motion to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions. **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Chm. Gray recused himself from discussion and vote. Mr. Palumbo chaired the hearing.

4) Applicant: Beth Vendice
File # SE7-2032
Representative: Zenith Consulting Engineering, Inc.
594 Circuit Ave, Bourne

To raze existing dwelling, construction of a new dwelling with associated septic system, driveway, grading, utilities and elevated walkway within a V Flood Zone and within 100 feet of a Wetland Resource Area.

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, Revised Site Plan, Landscape Plan, Letter from Building Inspector and DEP Wetlands Change Mapping.

(Continued from September 20, 2018)

Jamie Bissonnette addressed the members and discussed revisions made to the plan as requested by the Commission at the previous hearing.

Board Comment – Mr. Ligor asked if an aluminum ramp is still being proposed. Mr. Bissonnette stated yes. He said he met with Dave Hill and Carlos Fragata of DEP and discussed the Chapter 91 implications as asked to do by the Commission. He said they

saw no reason why they wouldn't grant the permit based on the representative's design. A brief discussion transpired regarding water access from the elevated walkway.

Ms. Leduc asked the representative whether he spoke to anyone at DEP regarding saltmarsh impacts and whether this is an appropriate dock. Mr. Bissonnette stated he spoke with the Division of Marine Fisheries and their concern was that the original design had the ramp sitting on the saltmarsh. He's since redesigned the ramp to have it elevated 18". A discussion ensued.

Ms. Leduc discussed the proposed mitigation planting. She expressed concern that the vegetation within the beach area at the front of the house will be removed and stated she would like the area to be revegetated. Mr. Haines recommended a condition be added to the Order that any areas of disturbed saltmarsh, coastal beach or destabilization of the Coastal Bank as a result of this project, must be restored immediately after the work is completed. Mr. Bissonnette agreed.

Ms. Leduc opened a brief discussion regarding the proposed retaining wall at the front of the proposed septic system.

Public Comment – None.

Mr. Palumbo entertained a motion to close the public hearing. **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to close the public hearing.** With no discussion the motion carried. 4-0-0.

Mr. Haines - Draft Order of Conditions: All General Conditions, Special Conditions pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40 numbers; 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 27, 28, 29, Special Conditions pursuant to the Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw Article 3.7 numbers; 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and the following Additional Special Conditions; ASC (1) the permitted saltmarsh walkway is not to be used as a boat dock, slip or mooring. No storage or mooring of watercraft is allowed on the saltmarsh, no floats are to be attached to the saltmarsh or walkway, ASC (2) no refueling in the Resource Area and secondary containment is required within the 100-foot buffer. Spill containment materials must be kept on site at all times, ASC (3) any areas of disturbed saltmarsh vegetation, coastal beach or the destabilization of the Coastal Bank as a result of this project must be restored immediately after work is completed to preconstruction conditions, ASC (4) only rubber tire or track vehicles utilizing swamp mats/planks will be permitted to transverse the following Resource Areas; beaches, dunes and saltmarshes, ASC (5) all work in the saltmarsh must be performed during the non-growing season; November 1st to April 1st, ASC (6) as shown on the plan of record, the lowest portion of the walkway ramp must be

elevated to a minimum of 18' above surface grade. General Conditions 2, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 24, 25, ASC 1 and 6 continue in perpetuity.

Mr. Palumbo entertained a motion to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions. **Mr. Holmes moved, Mr. Ligor seconded to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 3-1-0. Mr. Szwed opposed.

Chm. Gray returned to chair the hearing.

5) Applicant: Richard Selby
File Number: SE7-2027
Representative: Richard Selby
134 Wings Neck Road, Pocasset

Invasive Species Management within a V Flood Zone and within a Wetland Resource Area.

Materials Reviewed – Site Photographs, Aerial Photographs, Site Plan, Proposed Landscape Management Plan and Wetlands Change Mapping.

(Continued from September 6, 2018)

Mr. Selby addressed the members. He summarized the proposed management plan he drafted which provided two options for invasive species management on his property.

Board Comment – Mr. Szwed suggested the applicant denote the four cardinal directions; i.e., N, E, S, W, on the finalized plan. Mr. Selby agreed.

Ms. Leduc stated the proposal for mowing every two weeks seems excessive. She said another lot in the neighborhood that the Commission has allowed to mow for invasive species management was two-four times per season. Mr. Haines explained the mowing at 130 Wings Neck Road was previously approved at two-four times per season with the lowest minimum mowing height of four inches to protect wildlife and native species.

Ms. Leduc questioned the applicant's reasoning to mow the west side of the property vs. the east side. She stated because there aren't Phragmites growing on the west side, it would make better sense to flip the proposed mowing area; especially since the east side of the property already has an established pathway to the beach. Mr. Selby stated he would prefer to mow the west side of the property.

Mr. Berman voiced his opposition with allowing mowing as a means of invasive species management; especially since there's such a small number of Phragmites on Mr. Selby's property. He said he would prefer that each individual stalk be treated or pulled rather than mow the entire area. Mr. Selby explained that it was the Commission's concept of the 50/50 mowing and he was asked at the previous hearing to bring forth a management/monitoring plan; which is before them that evening.

Because Mr. Berman was not present at the previous hearing, a brief discussion transpired regarding the logic behind the 50/50 mowing experiment.

Mr. Ligor questioned whether a licensed applicator will apply the herbicide. Mr. Haines stated that will be one of his recommended conditions.

Public Comment – None.

Chm. Gray asked which option the Commission would like to adopt. **Mr. Ligor moved, Ms. Leduc seconded to adopt Option 2.** With no discussion, the motion carried 5-0-0.

Chm. Gray discussed the process with revising the language of Option 2 as written by the applicant. Mr. Haines suggested conditioning the Order to state that the order does not allow for mowing of the pathway shown in the yellow rectangle under Option 2. Ms. Leduc suggested switching the allowable mowing sides, then yellow rectangle won't be an issue. A discussion ensued.

Mr. Berman thinks in order to determine the experiment's effectiveness; the west side of the property should be left unmowed and only allow mowing on the east side. Mr. Haines suggested adding the following special condition to the Order; the treatment of the saltmarsh is only allowed for the duration of this Order and shall not extend beyond three years from the date of issuance; unless extended by the applicant or the representative. Any work conducted in the saltmarsh after the expiration of this Order shall result in enforcement by the Bourne Conservation Commission.

Mr. Selby attributed the success of preventing invasive species growth on his property to the mowing approach he's taken. He reiterated his preference of mowing on the west side of the property vs. switching to the east as suggested by Ms. Leduc.

Mr. Holmes discussed his opinion of mowing on the west side. After further discussion, Chm. Gray suggested that the applicant consider switching the mowing to the east side. Mr. Selby restated his preference to mow on the west side.

Ms. Leduc moved, Mr. Ligor seconded that the mowing be permitted on the east side of the property. 4-1-0. Mr. Palumbo opposed.

Chm. Gray explained that Option 2 is now mowing on the east and not mowing on the west. He asked if any members had any other additions or changes. Mr. Haines suggested the Commission determine the frequency of the mowing. **Mr. Szwed moved, Mr. Palumbo seconded to maintain what's been proposed and allow mowing to occur every other week.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 4-1-0. Ms. Leduc opposed.

Chm. Gray entertained a motion to close the public hearing. **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to close the public hearing.** With no discussion the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Mr. Haines - Draft Order of Conditions: All General Conditions, Special Conditions pursuant to M.G.L. Chapter 131, Section 40 numbers; 1, 2, 7, 9, 11, 15, 22, Special Conditions pursuant to the Bourne Wetlands Protection Bylaw Article 3.7 number; 2 and the following Additional Special Conditions; ASC (1) This order automatically includes the Option 2 activities outlined in the Landscape Management Plan dated October 3, 2018, ASC (2) the treatment of the saltmarsh is only allowed for the duration of this Order and shall not extend beyond three years from the date of issuance; unless extended by the applicant or the representative. Any work conducted in the saltmarsh after the expiration of this Order shall result in enforcement by the Bourne Conservation Commission ASC (3) the Order does not allow any equipment on the coastal dune or the coastal beach, ASC (4) once the area has been mowed or cut, thatch should be raked, bagged and disposed of in an appropriate location to prevent seed spread and allow sunlight to reach the soil surface, ASC (5) the following best management practices shall be employed during mowing activities; the mower deck must be set to a mowing height greater than 4 inches to minimize impact on small animals and native plants. This order only allows for mowing when the site is dry enough to support the weight of the mower and to avoid soil disturbance. ASC (6) Equipment used to manage Phragmites must be cleaned properly of all debris before it is removed from the treatment site to prevent an unintended spread of seeds or rhizomes to other areas, ASC (7) any herbicide application must be performed by a licensed applicator. Herbicide must be applied directly to the cut stem of the invasive plant. No foliar spraying of herbicide is permitted under this Order, ASC (8) prior to any extension or issuance of a Certificate of Compliance, the applicant must have a wetland scientist provide the Commission with a detailed report which discusses the annual monitoring, determines the effectiveness of the invasive species control methods outlined in the October 3, 2018 Landscape Management Plan and make recommendations for possible future treatment, ASC (9) the mowing section of this site must occur on the east side of the treatment area and the hand treatment will occur on the west side of the treatment area; which is the reverse of what was proposed for Option 2 of the proposed Landscape Management Plan dated October 3, 2018. Authority to allow this proposal for this proposed project is pursuant to 310 CMR 10.32 Section 5.

Mr. Haines explained to the applicant that he has the right to appeal the Commission's decision to the DEP.

Chm. Gray entertained a motion to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions. **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to move the Draft Order of Conditions to the Final Order of Conditions.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Mr. Selby asked for clarification on the logic of the switch. Ms. Leduc explained that because there are currently no Phragmites present on the west side of the property, the Commission can't allow him to mow native vegetation. They are allowing him to mow the area where invasives are currently present. Mr. Szwed added that it's also practical to mow on the east side because of the location of the existing path. A discussion ensued.

Mr. Haines reiterated that the applicant has the right to file an appeal if he is unhappy with the Commission's decision.

Certificate of Compliance

2) Applicant: 218 Main St. LLC c/o Fred Mielke
File Number: SE7-1851
Representative: Horsley Witten Group, Inc.
218 Main St., Buzzards Bay

Development of a four-story, 140 unit assisted living residential community with related appurtenances within an AE Flood Zone.

Agent Comment – A site inspection was performed on October 4, 2018. Based on the conditions, Mr. Haines recommended issuing the Certificate of Compliance.

Chm. Gray entertained a motion to issue the Certificate of Compliance. **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Haines seconded to issue the Certificate of Compliance.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0.

Other Business:

► Vote excused absent members, if necessary – **Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Holmes seconded to excuse the absent members.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0.

► Acceptance of Previous Meeting Minutes – Chm. Gray entertained a motion to approve the minutes of the September 6, 2018 meeting. Mr. Haines and Ms. Leduc noted several revisions. **Mr. Ligor moved Ms. Leduc seconded to approve the minutes of the September 6, 2018 meeting.** With no discussion, the motion carried. 3-0-2. Mr. Holmes and Mr. Szwed abstained.

► Report of the Conservation Agent – None.

► Public Comment Period on Non-Agenda Items – None.

► Questions and Answers re: M.G.L. Chapter 131 §40 and 310 CMR 10.00-10.99 – None.

► Questions and Answers re: Town of Bourne Wetland Protection Bylaw (Article 3.7) and BWR 1.00-1.16 – None.

II. Adjournment

Mr. Ligor moved, Mr. Szwed seconded to adjourn. With no discussion, the motion carried. 5-0-0. The meeting adjourned at 8:57 PM.

Minutes submitted by: Carol Mitchell