

Petition of NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a)	
Eversource Energy Pursuant to G.L. c. 164, § 69J)	
for Approval to Construct and Operate a New)	EFSB 19-06
115-kV Electric Transmission Line Between the)	
Bourne Switching Station and West Barnstable)	
Substation)	

I. INTRODUCTION

Now comes NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy (“Eversource” or the “Company”) and hereby requests, pursuant to G.L. c. 25, § 5D and 220 C.M.R. § 1.04(5)(e), that the Energy Facilities Siting Board (the “Siting Board”) and the Department of Public Utilities (“Department”), as appropriate, recognize and confirm the application of the exemption from public disclosure for certain critical energy infrastructure information (“CEII”) included within appendices to an analysis prepared on behalf of the Company and entitled *Analysis to Support Petitions Before the Energy Facilities Siting Board – Mid Cape Reliability Project* (the “Analysis”). On this date, the Company has submitted a request, pursuant to the provisions of G.L. c. 164, § 69J, seeking the Siting Board’s approval of the Company’s petition to construct and operate a new approximately 12.5-mile, overhead 115-kilovolt (“kV”) electric transmission line on an existing right-of-way (“ROW”) between Eversource’s Bourne Switching Station and West Barnstable Substation, all as more fully described therein and referred to as the Mid Cape Reliability Project, or the “Project.” The Analysis is provided as Attachment A to the

Company's Section 69J Petition. By this Motion, the Company requests that the Siting Board protect the following documents from public disclosure:

- Appendix 2-1 of the Analysis, which is a document entitled "SEMA-RI Transmission Needs Assessment," dated May 2016; and
- Appendix 3-1 of the Analysis, which is a document entitled "SEMA-RI Transmission Solutions Study," dated February 2017.

These documents are referred to herein as the "Confidential CEII." The Confidential CEII contained in Appendices 2-1 and 3-1 includes highly sensitive information about the Southeastern Massachusetts and Rhode Island ("SEMA/RI") Area transmission system, the public disclosure of which may represent a threat to safety and service reliability to the extent that the recipient of the documents can determine potential vulnerabilities on the Eversource and SEMA/RI Area transmission systems. The redacted information qualifies as CEII under the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's ("FERC") definition of CEII as set forth in 18 C.F.R. § 388.113(c)(1). The Company treats this information as highly confidential. Such information requires confidential, protected treatment in the interest of public safety.

A proposed Nondisclosure Agreement for CEII is provided in Attachment A to this Motion. The Company would require the execution of this Nondisclosure Agreement for CEII prior to providing any party with Confidential CEII as defined herein. The Confidential CEII is being provided under seal and subject to this Motion.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

FERC's regulations, 18 C.F.R. § 388.113(c)(1), define CEII as follows:

Critical energy infrastructure information means specific engineering, vulnerability, or detailed design information about proposed or existing critical infrastructure that:

- (i) Relates details about the production, generation, transportation, transmission, or distribution of energy;

- (ii) Could be useful to a person in planning an attack on critical infrastructure;
- (iii) Is exempt from mandatory disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552; and
- (iv) Does not simply give the general location of the critical infrastructure.

Consistent with the FERC rules, the Massachusetts Public Records Law is set forth at G.L. c. 66, § 10 and provides the parameters for public access to documents in the possession of state and local government agencies. G.L. c. 66, § 10. G.L. c. 4, § 7 sets forth definitions of statutory terms used in the General Laws, and clause 26 of that section contains the definition of the term “public records.” The Massachusetts General Court has provided specific protection for certain kinds of utility infrastructure information by excluding such documents from the definition of a “public record.” Specifically, “public records” are defined by statute as:

all books, papers, maps, photographs, recorded tapes, financial statements, statistical tabulations, or other documentary materials or data, regardless of physical form or characteristics, made or received by any officer or employee of any agency, executive office, department, board, commission, bureau, division or authority of the commonwealth, or of any political subdivision thereof, or of any authority established by the general court to serve a public purpose, unless such materials or data fall within the following exemptions in that they are:

G.L. c. 4, § 7, clause 26 (emphasis added). Among the various exceptions to a “public record” are:

(n) records, including, but not limited to, blueprints, plans, policies, procedures and schematic drawings, which relate to internal layout and structural elements, security measures, emergency preparedness, threat or vulnerability assessments, or any other records relating to the security or safety of persons or buildings, structures, facilities, utilities, transportation or other infrastructure located within the commonwealth, the disclosure of which, in the reasonable judgment of the record custodian, subject to review by the supervisor of public records under subsection (b) of section 10 of chapter 66, is likely to jeopardize public safety.

G.L. c. 4, § 7, clause 26(n) (emphasis added). See 980 C.M.R. § 4.03(2) (“[r]ecords shall not be made available to the public if they are within any of the enumerated exemptions in the 26th

clause of M.G.L. c. 4, § 7”). The Siting Board and the Department have both historically treated the Confidential CEII Information as information to be protected from public disclosure. See NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, EFSB 16-02/D.P.U. 16-77, Presiding Officer Ruling at 3 (January 25, 2017); NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy and New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid, EFSB 15-04/D.P.U. 15-140/15-141, Presiding Officer Ruling at 4 (January 27, 2016) (treating the information as provided in the Confidential CEII as CEII and protecting such information from public disclosure); NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, EFSB 15-03/D.P.U. 15-64/15-65, Presiding Officer Ruling at 3 (May 21, 2015) (same); NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, D.P.U. 15-85, Presiding Officer Ruling (September 30, 2015) (same); NSTAR Electric Company, EFSB 10-2/D.P.U. 10-131/10-132, Presiding Officer Ruling at 3 (March 1, 2011) (treating load flow and schematic diagrams, transmission studies and updates, and presentations to PAC comparing project alternatives as CEII and protecting such information from public disclosure).

III. ARGUMENT

The Company seeks protection from public disclosure the Confidential CEII described above. The Confidential CEII contained in Appendices 2-1 and 3-1 of the Analysis is exempt from the definition of a “public record” and, therefore, should be protected from public disclosure because this information provides specific details concerning critical energy infrastructure of the Company. The Company does not disclose this information to the public in the normal course of conducting business and takes steps to protect this information from unauthorized or accidental disclosure. Public disclosure of this information would be contrary to the public interest and represents an undue risk to public safety in that this information could be

used by terrorists to plan and carry out a targeted attack on specific utility infrastructure serving the Company's most critical customers.

The Confidential CEII meets FERC's definition of CEII set forth at 18 C.F.R. § 388.113(c)(1) and contains highly sensitive information, the public disclosure of which may present a threat to public safety and service reliability. Specifically, this information: (1) depicts detailed engineering information about the design of bulk electric facilities that could be useful to a person planning an attack on critical infrastructure; and (2) provides power flow cause-and-effect information that would be useful in identifying critical energy infrastructure targets. Accordingly, in view of the statutory determination under G.L. c. 4, § 7, clause 26(n), that such important energy infrastructure information is not to be publicly disclosed, the Siting Board should determine that the Confidential CEII is exempt from public disclosure because it is not a "public record."

In certain instances, the Siting Board has granted motions for protective treatment on the condition that protective treatment will lapse after a period of time. In such cases, the protected information is often of a financial nature or involves competitive bids. The competitive value of that type of information (and the harm caused by disclosure) generally erodes over time, and a reasonable sunset provision can be appropriate. However, the need for protection of Confidential CEII from public disclosure should not lapse because the information about utility infrastructure will not change for decades. There is no basis for assuming that the threat to public safety that may result from disclosure of Confidential CEII will abate after a set period of time. Furthermore, where such critical infrastructure information clearly falls within the exemption from the definition of a public record set forth in G.L. c. 4, § 7, clause 26(n), there is no legal basis for the application of a "sunset" provision, for the reason that if such information

is not a public record, there can be no requirement that it be made public. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests that the Siting Board take such actions as may be necessary or appropriate to accord permanent protection to the Confidential CEII. See NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, EFSB 16-02/D.P.U. 16-77, Presiding Officer Ruling at 3 (January 25, 2017); NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy and New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid, EFSB 15-04/D.P.U. 15-140/15-141, Presiding Officer Ruling at 4 (January 27, 2016); NSTAR Electric Company d/b/a Eversource Energy, EFSB 15-03/D.P.U. 15-64/15-65, Presiding Officer Ruling at 3 (May 21, 2015); New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid, EFSB 13-2/D.P.U. 13-151/13-152 (Sept. 30, 2013); NSTAR Electric Company, D.P.U. 13-64, Hearing Officer Ruling, at 3 (July 8, 2013); New England Power Company d/b/a National Grid, EFSB 12-1/D.P.U. 12-46/12-47, Hearing Officer Ruling on Motion for Confidential Treatment, at 3 (June 27, 2012); NSTAR Electric Company, D.P.U. 07-61, Hearing Officer Ruling on NSTAR Electric Motion for Confidential Treatment, at 3 (February 26, 2008).

IV. CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Eversource requests that the Siting Board (or, as appropriate, the Department) rule that good cause exists to protect from disclosure the Confidential CEII described herein indefinitely because it meets all applicable standards and definitions for protection.

Respectfully Submitted,

**NSTAR ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a
EVERSOURCE ENERGY**

By its attorneys,



David S. Rosenzweig, Esq.
Michael J. Koehler, Esq.
Keegan Werlin LLP
99 High Street, Suite 2900
Boston, MA 02110
Phone: (617) 951-1400
drosen@keeganwerlin.com
mkoehler@keeganwerlin.com

Dated: November 8, 2019