# TOWN OF BOURNE, MASSACHUSETTS

# REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING, COMPLIANCE AND FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

## TOWN OF BOURNE, MASSACHUSETTS

## REPORTS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING, COMPLIANCE AND FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

## FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10



## SULLIVAN, ROGERS & COMPANY, LLC

Corporate Place I, Suite 204 • 99 South Bedford Street
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
P • 781-229-5600 F • 781-229-5610 www.sullivan-rogers.com

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Board of Selectmen Town of Bourne, Massachusetts

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated April 8, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

## Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

## Compliance and Other Matters

Bollin, Ray & Company, LLC

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, in a separate letter dated April 8, 2013.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Selectmen, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

April 8, 2013



#### SULLIVAN, ROGERS & COMPANY, LLC

Corporate Place I, Suite 204 • 99 South Bedford Street
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
P • 781-229-5600 F • 781-229-5610 www.sullivan-rogers.com

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Honorable Board of Selectmen Town of Bourne, Massachusetts

### **Compliance**

We have audited the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts' (Town) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. The Town's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Town's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Town's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Town's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Town's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Town complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 12-2 and 12-3.

### Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the Town is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Town's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Town's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a significant deficiency, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 12-1. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Town, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated April 8, 2013, which contained unqualified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The Town's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the Town's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Bullin, For & Company, UC

April 8, 2013

This page left intentionally blank.

#### SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

## FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/	Federal	Pass-through	_ = =	
Pass-Through Grantor/ Program	CFDA Number	Identifying	Federal	
Tiogram	Number	Number	Expenditures	
U.S. Department of Agriculture				
Passed through the State Department of Elementary & Secondary Education:				
Food Distribution Program	10.550	01-036	\$ 24,567	
	10.000	01-030	21,507	
Child Nutrition Cluster				
School Breakfast Program	10.553	01-036	25,900	
National School Lunch Program	10.555	01-036	256,716	
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			307,183	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				
Passed through State Department of Housing and Community				
Development:				
Small Cities Program	14,228	SCOCD3220012640030000	88,726	
U.S. Department of the Interior				
Passed through the Massachusetts Division of Marine				
Fisheries:				
Clean Vessel Act	15.616	FWE042508000003004	20,000	
He December of Linking				
U.S. Department of Justice <u>Passed through the State Executive Office of Public Safety:</u>				
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant	16.579	Not Available	9,366	
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant	16.579	Not Available	21,459	
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant	16.607	SCEPSBULLETPROOFSY12	18,174	
Total U.S. Department of Justice			48,999	
710 75			-	
U.S. Department of Education Direct Program:				
Impact Aid	84.041	Not Applicable	177,983	
Passed through the State Department of Elementary &		••		
Secondary Education:				
Title I, Part A Cluster	04.010	005 405 4 000 4 1	44.047	
Title I Distribution (fiscal year 2011) Title I Distribution (fiscal year 2012)	84.010 84.010	305-185-1-0036-L 305-112-2-0036-M	41,016	
Title I Carryover Grant (fiscal year 2012)	84.010	305-382-2-0036-M	309,391 11,782	
ARRA - Title I Grants (fiscal year 2011)	84.389	770-247-1-0036-L	2,406	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	021007	770 227 2 0000 2	2,100	
Special Education Cluster				
SPED 94-142 Allocation (fiscal year 2011)	84,027	240-049-1-0036-L	117,965	
SPED 94-142 Allocation (fiscal year 2012)	84,027	240-094-2-0036-M	439,937	
SPED Carryover Grant (fiscal year 2011)	84.027	240-362-1-0036-L	483	
SPED Carryover Grant (fiscal year 2012) SPED Program Improvement (fiscal year 2012)	84.027 84.027	240-365-2-0036-M 274-003-2-0036-M	962 21,298	
ARRA - SPED IDEA (fiscal year 2011)	84.391	760-279-1-0036-L	153,571	
Passed through the State Department of Early Education	04.571	700-279-1-0030-E	100,071	
and Care;				
SPED Early Childhood Allocation (fiscal year 2011)	84.173	26211BOURNEPUBLICSCH	91	
SPED Early Childhood Allocation (fiscal year 2012)	84.173	26212BOURNEPUBLICSCH	5,044	
ARRA - SPED Early Childhood Allocation (fiscal year 2011)	84.392	76211BOURNEPUBLICSCH	223	
Passed through the State Department of Elementary &				
Secondary Education:				
Safe and Drug-Free Schools - CI (fiscal year 2011)	84.186	332-155-1-0036-L	1,196	
Title IIA - Improving Teacher Quality (fiscal year 2011)	84.367	140-131-1-0036-L	9,663	
Title IIA - Improving Teacher Quality (fiscal year 2012)	84.367	140-057-2-0036-M	59,645	
ARRA - Race to the Top (fiscal year 2012)	84.395	201-003-2-0036-M	42,093	
ARRA - Education Jobs Fund Program (fiscal year 2011)	84.410	206-008-1-0036-L	13,468	
ARRA - Education Jobs Fund Program (fiscal year 2012)	84.410	206-232-2-0036-M	210,335	
Total U.S. Department of Education			1,618,552	
			(Continued)	

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

## FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through the State Department of Health and Human			
Services:			
School-Based Medicaid Reimbursement Program	93.778	1953656	58,933
Total			\$ 2,142,393
			(Concluded)

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

#### Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts and is presented on the cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

### Note 2 - U.S. Department of Agriculture Programs

The amount reported for the Food Distribution Program represents non-monetary assistance and is reported in the schedule at the fair market value of the commodities received. The amounts reported for the School Breakfast Program and the National School Lunch Program represent cash receipts from federal reimbursements.

#### Note 3 - U.S. Department of Education

The amount reported for the Impact Aid program represents federal cash receipts.

## Note 4 - U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

The amount reported for the School-Based Medicaid Reimbursement Program represents federal cash receipts related to Administrative Activity Claims.

#### A. Summary of Auditors' Results

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts.
- 2. There were no material weaknesses disclosed during the audit of the financial statements.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts, which are required to be reported in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. A significant deficiency in internal control over major federal award programs disclosed during the audit is reported in the Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the Town of Bourne, Massachusetts expresses an unqualified opinion on all major programs.
- 6. Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133 are reported in this schedule on pages 11-12.
- 7. The programs tested as major programs were:

Program Description	CFDA Number
Title I, Part A Cluster	
Title I Distribution	84.010
Title I Carryover Grant	84.010
ARRA - Title I Grants	84.389
Special Education Cluster	
SPED 94-142 Allocation	84.027
SPED Carryover Grant	84.027
SPED Program Improvement	84.027
SPED Early Childhood Allocation	84.173
ARRA - SPED IDEA	84.391
ARRA - SPED Early Childhood Allocation	84.392

- 8. The threshold used for distinguishing between Type A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. The Town of Bourne, Massachusetts did not qualify as a low-risk auditee.

#### B. Findings - Financial Statement Audit

None.

## C. Findings and Questioned Costs - Major Federal Award Programs Audit

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

## Significant Deficiency in Internal Control over Major Federal Award Programs

12-1 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

Condition and Criteria: The Town does not have internal controls in place to fully comply with the procurement, suspension and debarment compliance requirements related to the program.

Cause: Internal controls are not in place to verify that the vendors contracted with in excess of \$25,000 related to the grant program are not suspended, debarred or otherwise excluded from doing business.

*Effect:* Noncompliance with the federal award program's procurement, suspension and debarment compliance requirements could occur and not be detected and corrected timely.

Auditors' Recommendation: Internal controls must be implemented to ensure that all vendors contracted with have not been suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded from doing business, prior to procuring their services. In order to verify this, program management should either obtain debarment certifications from the vendors or check the *Excluded Parties List System* website. Internal controls must also be implemented to maintain documentation supporting the debarment checks performed.

*Grantee Response:* This finding will be reviewed and procedures will be implemented to ensure that contracted vendors with an excess of \$25,000 have not been suspended or debarred or otherwise excluded from doing business, prior to procuring their services.

### Noncompliance Related to Major Programs

12-2 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

Condition and Criteria: Certain documentation maintained as support for the salaries and wages charged to the grant program for the first half of the year was not prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 requirements. Several of the periodic certifications maintained for the period of September 2011 through January 2012 were not signed by the Grants Director or the employees.

*Cause:* Procedures are not in place to maintain all salary documentation in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 requirements for all grant employees.

*Effect:* The Town is not in compliance with OMB Cost Circular A-87 salaries and wages supporting documentation requirements.

Questioned Costs: None

Auditors' Recommendation: Procedures must be implemented to ensure that appropriate supporting documentation is maintained for all salaries and wages charged to the grant program. For employees that work on both Title I, Part A Cluster program and other non-grant related activities, personnel activity reports must be maintained that (1) reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual activity of the employee, (2) account for the total activity for which the employee is compensated, (3) are prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more pay periods, and (4) must be signed by the employee.

For employees that work solely on the Title I, Part A Cluster program, periodic certifications that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification must be completed semi-annually and signed (on or after the period covered by the certification) by the employee or supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee.

Grantee Response: This finding will be reviewed and procedures in place will be enhanced to ensure that documentation is maintained that supports the salaries charged for employees funded by a grant.

12-3 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

Condition and Criteria: Certain documentation maintained as support for the salaries and wages charged to the grant program for the first half of the year was not prepared in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 requirements. The periodic certifications maintained for the period of September 2011 through January 2012 were prepared and signed approximately one month prior to the date covered by the certifications.

Cause: Procedures are not in place to maintain all salary documentation in accordance with OMB Circular A-87 requirements for all grant employees.

*Effect:* The Town is not in compliance with OMB Cost Circular A-87 salaries and wages supporting documentation requirements.

Questioned Costs: None

Auditors' Recommendation: Procedures must be implemented to ensure that appropriate supporting documentation is maintained for all salaries and wages charged to the grant program. For employees that work on both Special Education Cluster program and other non-grant related activities, personnel activity reports must be maintained that (1) reflect an after-the-fact distribution of the actual activity of the employee, (2) account for the total activity for which the employee is compensated, (3) are prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more pay periods, and (4) must be signed by the employee. For employees that work solely on the Special Education Cluster program, periodic certifications that the employees worked solely on that program for the period covered by the certification must be completed semi-annually and signed (on or after the period covered by the certification) by the employee or supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the work performed by the employee.

*Grantee Response*: This finding will be reviewed and procedures in place will be enhanced to ensure that documentation is maintained that supports the salaries charged for employees funded by a grant.

#### D. Summary of Prior Audit Findings

#### MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

#### **Department of Education**

Material Weaknesses in Internal Control over Major Federal Award Programs

11-1 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

*Condition:* The Town did not comply with the allowable costs/cost principles compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-2 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

*Condition:* The Town did not comply with the equipment and real property management compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-3 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The Town did not comply with the reporting compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-4 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

*Condition:* The Town did not comply with the allowable costs/cost principles compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-5 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

*Condition:* The Town does not have internal controls in place to comply with the procurement, suspension and debarment compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: The finding remains unchanged. Please see current year Finding 12-1.

11-6 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The Town did not comply with the reporting compliance requirements related to the program.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

#### Noncompliance Related to Major Programs

11-7 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

*Condition:* The documentation maintained did not support the salaries and wages charged to the grant program for five of the thirty-five payroll charges tested.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-8 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The equipment purchased with the Title I, Part A Cluster program funds is not segregated in the Town's inventory listing and labeled as federally funded equipment. Additionally, the Town's federal grant equipment records do not contain all of the required information as prescribed in the OMB Circular A-102 Common Rule.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-9 Title I, Part A Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.010 and 84.389; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The ARRA quarterly reports submitted to the DESE were not completed based upon expenditures processed and recorded in the Town's general ledger and, therefore, did not represent an accurate, current and complete disclosure of financial results.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-10 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The documentation maintained did not support the salaries and wages charged to the grant program for eleven of the thirty-two payroll charges tested. In addition, the payroll charged to the grant for one of the employees did not agree with the employee's contract.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.

11-11 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027, 84.173, 84.391, 84.392; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011

Condition: The ARRA quarterly reports submitted to the DESE were not completed based upon expenditures processed and recorded in the Town's general ledger and, therefore, did not represent an accurate, current and complete disclosure of financial results.

Current Status: This finding has been resolved.